

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CIVIL B
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CEN

Civil Division

20/10/00 18 P 1:48 4 PM

DR. JUDY MIKOVITS
140 Acacia Ave, #5
Carlsbad CA 92008

Plaintiff.

V

THE WHITTEMORE PETERSON
INSTITUTE
University of Nevada, Reno MS 0552
1664 N. Virginia St.
Reno, NV 89557-0552

Defendant.

Original


INTRODUCTION

17 1. Plaintiff Dr. Judy Mikovits, individually, on her own behalf, files this
18 complaint against Defendant the Whittemore Peterson Institute (WPI) to recover
19 damages, penalties, and attorney's fees for violations of the federal False Claims Act
20 anti-retaliation provisions, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3730(h).

21 2. Mikovits began working for WPI in 2006.

22 3. WPI is a research institute founded by Harvey and Annette Whittemore to
23 study neuroimmune diseases, in particular Chronic Fatigue Immune Dysfunction
24 Syndrome (CFIDS).

25 4. In or around the summer of 2011, Dr. Mikovits discovered that one of the
26 researchers at WPI, Vincent Lombardi, had been using federally-funded research
27 materials for use in research for a for-profit entity owned by the Whittemores, VIPDx.



1 5. Dr. Mikovits informed Lombardi and the Whittemores about the
2 perceived misappropriation of federal funds.

3 6. Dr. Mikovits demanded that Lombardi cease the misappropriation of
4 these resources, and refused to provide these resources to him.

5 7. Dr. Mikovits also co-authored a paper asserting that product of the
6 Whittemores' for-profit entity, VIPDx, was based on contaminated research.

7 8. Shortly after reporting these problems, Mikovits was terminated on
8 September 29, 2011.

9 9. Within weeks of her termination, on November 18, Mikovits was arrested
10 on criminal charges for alleged failure to hand over documents to which WPI claimed
11 title.

12 10. Mikovits was held in jail without bail until November 22, 2014 for being a
13 "fugitive from justice."

11. Despite Mikovits's incarceration, the Whittemores and WPI pressed
12 forward with civil claims against Mikovits, resulting in an injunction requiring Mikovits
13 to hand over the documents that precipitated the arrest.

12. As a result of failure to comply with the order because of concerns for the
safety of patient data, Dr. Mikovits lost the civil case on default judgment.

13. In the resulting bankruptcy proceeding, WPI successfully claimed that
Mikovits owed the Institute \$5.5 million.

21 14. The set of proceedings WPI commenced against Dr. Mikovits were
22 intended to retaliate against Mikovits because of her role in furthering a potential qui
23 tam proceeding, and seeking to stop perceived fraud against the government.

24 15. The proceedings commenced and prosecuted by WPI and the
25 Whittemores succeeded in blackballing and bankrupting Mikovits.

26

27 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1 16. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C.
2 § 1331 and 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a).

3 17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to 31
4 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because Defendants transact business in this judicial district.

5 18. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and 1395(a), and 31
6 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because Plaintiff is a resident of this district and because Defendants
7 transact business within this judicial district.

PARTIES

10

11 The Whittemore Peterson Institute and the Whittemores

12 19. Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore are well-known wealthy
13 socialites in the state of Nevada.

14 20. Harvey Whittemore is widely considered one of the most influential
15 citizens of Nevada.

16 21. Harvey Whittemore's is also a prolific fundraiser for Nevada politicians.

17 22. In addition to this, Harvey Whittemore is a lawyer who was a lobbyist for
18 the gaming industry in Nevada, as well as the petrochemical industry.

19 23. Annette Whittemore is a socialite known for throwing lavish, well-
20 attended parties.

21 24. The Whittemore Peterson Institute is an organization founded by the
22 Whittemores to study a condition known as Chronic Fatigue Immune Dysfunction
23 Syndrome (CFIDS).

24 25. CFIDS is poorly understood, but is the term for a set of symptoms
25 including malaise after exertion; unrefreshing sleep, generalized muscle and joint pain,
26 sore throat, abnormal headaches, cognitive difficulties, chronic and severe mental and
27 physical exhaustion, and other symptoms in a previously healthy and active person.

1 26. The Whittemores have a daughter named Andrea who suffers from
2 (CFIDS).

3 27. Andrea Whittemore is severely impacted by the condition.

4 28. Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore sought a cure for their
5 daughter's illness.

6 29. Mr. and Mrs. Whittemore decided to endow the University of Nevada
7 with enough funds to open a research laboratory to research treatments and cures for
8 CFIDS.

9 30. Together, with another prominent doctor named Peterson, the
10 Whittemores founded the Whittemore Peterson Institute (WPI).

11 31. WPI is a non-profit 501(c)(3) organization at the University of Nevada.

12 32. Annette Whittemore was the president and CEO of WPI.

13 33. Harvey Whittemore was also heavily involved with the administration of
14 the organization.

15

16 *Dr. Judy Mikovits*

17 34. The Whittemores tapped plaintiff, Dr. Judy Mikovits, to head up the
18 research of WPI.

19 35. Dr. Mikovits had more than 20 years' experience at NIH and was the CSO
20 of a biotech company in Santa Barbara, CA.

21 36. Dr. Mikovits was well-known and highly regarded as an immunologist
22 and virologist, developing cancer therapies targeting viral causes of immune deficiency.

23 37. WPI hired Dr. Mikovits as the research director.

24 38. Dr. Mikovits was the only principal investigator at the institute.

25 39. Dr. Mikovits did most of the Institutes's work, including writing and
26 winning grants to fund the research.

27

122
2018-11-24 PM

1

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2 Dr. Mikovits publishes research on XMRV

3 40. In 2009 Dr. Mikovits and her colleague, Dr. Frank Ruscetti isolated a
4 retrovirus known as XMRV (Xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus) from
5 humans for the first time and associated it with CFIDS,

6 41. Dr. Mikovits's research was published in the Journal, *Science*.

7 42. This paper was the subject of many international news articles due to the
8 potential impact for those suffering from CFIDS and related problems.

9 43. Those suffering from CFIDS and other diseases started to contact WPI
10 looking hoping for more and better information on the disease.

11

12 The Whittemores commercialize an XMRV diagnostic test

13 44. After the publication of Dr. Mikovits's work on XMRV, the Whittemores
14 developed and commercialized a diagnostic test for XMRV.

15 45. They sold this test from a Whittemore-owned company known as VIPDx
16 (Viral Immune Pathology Diagnostics).

17

18 Dr. Mikovits attract government research money

19 46. As Dr. Mikovits's work progressed in the laboratory, links were
20 anticipated between XMRV and other neuroimmune diseases such as fibromyalgia,
21 chronic Lyme disease, atypical multiple sclerosis and autism spectrum disorder.

22 47. Under Dr. Mikovits's direction, WPI grew from a small foundation to an
23 internationally recognized center for the study of neuroimmune diseases.

24 48. As a result of the intense attention that Dr. Mikovits's lab was receiving,
25 Dr. Mikovits began to attract federal funds from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)
26 and the United States Department of Defense.

27

222

12/14/2018 1:47 PM
12/14/2018 1:47 PM

1 49. The Department of Defense was interested in the implications of the
2 research for Gulf War Syndrome.

3

4 *Dr. Mikovits learn of contamination of her research*

5 50. In the late summer of 2011, Dr. Mikovits discovered that there were
6 discrepancies in the previously published research on XMRV.

7 51. Dr. Mikovits had participated in several multi-center studies, including
8 one to determine the threat to the blood supply.

9 52. No one could detect XMRV, and Dr. Mikovits's colleague Bob Silverman of
10 the Cleveland Clinic identified contamination in samples from WPI.

11 53. Silverman began to doubt the scientific integrity of Dr. Mikovits's recently
12 published work.

13 54. Dr. Mikovits learned from Silverman that contaminated samples were sent
14 by Dr. Mikovits's postdoctoral fellow, Vincent C. Lombardi in late March of 2009.

15 55. This occurred without Dr. Mikovits's knowledge and against strict orders.

16 56. Dr. Mikovits also discovered Lombardi had done little to no work in at
17 least a year on NIH grants for which he was being paid 50% of his salary.

18 57. The other 50% of his salary was for his work with VIPDx, the for-profit
19 company selling the XMRV diagnostic test.

20

21 *Lombardi uses grant-funded materials for profit*

22 58. Lombardi took reagents from Dr. Mikovits's lab that were paid for by the
23 grant.

24 59. Lombardi used grant-funded reagents in his for-profit work at VIPDx.

25 60. Lombardi worked in the VIPDx building several miles away from the WPI
26 research center, and claimed that he conducted his grant-related work at the VIPDx
27 building for convenience.

1 61. Dr. Mikovits asked Lombardi repeatedly for his data, which he refused to
2 provide.

3 62. Dr. Mikovits ultimately determined he had made up the data he provided
4 Dr. Mikovits.

5 63. No one else at the institute was able to replicate Lombardi's data.

6 64. In an email on July 27, 2014, Dr. Mikovits alerted Lombardi to theft of Dr.
7 Mikovits's materials.

8 65. In that email, Dr. Mikovits accused Lombardi and another employee
9 named Svetlana of misappropriating materials.

10

11 ***Dr. Mikovits confronts Lombardi and the Whittemores about data problems***

12 66. When Dr. Mikovits approached Lombardi, he became instantly defensive,
13 citing proprietary information belonging to VIPDx.

14 67. Dr. Mikovits then went directly to Harvey Whittemore, telling him all
15 work and diagnostic testing must stop and collaborators must be notified immediately
16 of the potential problems with the original data.

17 68. Dr. Mikovits emailed Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore on or
18 about July 8, 2011 and demanded that Lombardi's access to the research lab be
19 immediately suspended.

20 69. Harvey Whittemore took over management of Lombardi and told Dr.
21 Mikovits not to divulge any information to anyone until he permitted it.

22 70. Dr. Mikovits refused that order and told her colleague Dr. Ruscetti
23 immediately.

24 71. Dr. Mikovits told the Whittemores to stop funding Lombardi or any
25 VIPDx staff from NIH grants.

26 72. Dr. Mikovits demanded several times in July and August to see all the
27 accounting on the annual progress report for the grants.

NOV 18 2014 PM
12

122
114 PM
113
112
111
110
109
108
107
106
105
104
103
102
101
100
99
98
97
96
95
94
93
92
91
90
89
88
87
86
85
84
83
82
81
80
79
78
77
76
75
74
73
72
71
70
69
68
67
66
65
64
63
62
61
60
59
58
57
56
55
54
53
52
51
50
49
48
47
46
45
44
43
42
41
40
39
38
37
36
35
34
33
32
31
30
29
28
27
26
25
24
23
22
21
20
19
18
17
16
15
14
13
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1

1 ***Dr. Mikovits is terminated***

2 73. On August 1, 2011, when the data for the last phase of the blood working
3 group was unblinded, it revealed that the tests being done were not valid.

4 74. Dr. Mikovits told Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore to stop the
5 testing at VIPDx.

6 75. Annette Whittemore instructed Dr. Mikovits by email to change the data
7 in order to preserve the project and the thousands of tests done in the past two years.

8 76. Mike Busch, head of the blood working group, came to Reno to vouch for
9 Dr. Mikovits's integrity and tour the labs.

10 77. Busch wrote a letter to Annette Whittemore saying he was "disturbed by
11 what he saw in the clinical lab."

12 78. Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore threatened Dr. Mikovits and
13 her research assistant and student Max Pfost and Frank Ruscetti if Dr. Mikovits co-
14 authored a paper showing the flaws of the VIPDx diagnostic test for XMRV.

15 79. The paper was published on September 23, 2011 with Pfost and Dr.
16 Mikovits as co-authors.

17 80. Despite being asked to do so by Annette Whittemore and Harvey
18 Whittemore, Dr. Mikovits refused to permit Lombardi access to reagents and resources
19 purchased for the NIH grant.

20 81. Dr. Mikovits was fired on Thursday September 29th, 2011 for "insolence
21 and insubordination."

22

23 ***Dr. Mikovits's lab is locked down***

24 82. Within an hour of Dr. Mikovits's termination, her labs—accessed by key
25 card—were locked down by the University of Nevada.

26 83. Lombardi texted Pfost and Dr. Mikovits's staff and said there had been a
27 shake up and no one was to enter the lab.

1 84. Annette and Harvey Whittemore were in Washington, DC.

2 85. Annette Whittemore sent an email to the staff giving them a week off with
3 pay.

4 86. The lab and Dr. Mikovits's office were cleaned out in that time period.

5 87. Dr. Mikovits left that day with nothing in her hands and all data locked in
6 the labs and office.

7 88. The keys to Dr. Mikovits's office were locked in her lab.

8 89. Dr. Mikovits had her keycard with her, but could not access it or her office
9 after 6pm on September 29th, 2011.

10

11 ***Dr. Mikovits is accused of theft***

12 90. On November 2, 2011, Dr. Mikovits was accused of stealing a laptop and
13 19 laboratory notebooks, which were all Dr. Mikovits's property.

14 91. Dr. Mikovits refused to sign a document sent to her home in late October
15 telling Dr. Mikovits to return these items to WPI, along with any copies of any data.

16 92. Dr. Mikovits had no idea where they were.

17 93. Dr. Mikovits also assert that they were her own intellectual property and
18 her personal property, as Dr. Mikovits had purchased all notebooks, flashdrives and
19 personal computers.

20 94. The laboratory notebooks represented the totality of Dr. Mikovits's work,
21 including that done prior to her work with WPI.

22

23 ***WPI files suit against Dr. Mikovits***

24 95. On November 4, 2011, WPI filed a lawsuit against Dr. Mikovits.

25 96. In that suit they alleged breach of contract, trade secret misappropriation,
26 conversion, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and sought
27 specific performance and replevin against Dr. Mikovits.

1 97. On November 7, 2011, WPI filed a motion for a temporary restraining
2 order seeking the return of the computer and all copies of the Dr. Mikovits's lab
3 notebooks.

4 98. The judge entered the TRO against Dr. Mikovits.

5 99. On November 9, 2011, service was made of the complaint and TRO.

6 100. Dr. Mikovits was not home because she was away on a boating trip.

7 101. Dr. Mikovits returned to her home on November 13, 2011 to find the
8 summons and complaint on the porch of Dr. Mikovits's house.

9 102. On November 14, 2011, Dr. Mikovits contacted and hired an attorney.

10

11 *Dr. Mikovits is put in jail without bail*

12 103. On November 18, 2011, while on Dr. her way to meet with her new
13 attorney, Dr. Mikovits was arrested at her home at 1:00 PM by California and University
14 of Nevada campus police.

15 104. Dr. Mikovits was taken to the Ventura County Jail where she was held
16 until November 22, 2011.

17 105. Because Dr. Mikovits was considered a "fugitive from justice" based on
18 Dr. Mikovits's going to longtime home in California, there was a bail hold placed upon
19 her.

20 106. The bail bondsman reported to Dr. Mikovits's attorney that he had never
21 seen anything like this happen before.

22 107. Dr. Mikovits's attorneys filed an opposition to the motion for preliminary
23 injunction asserting that Dr. Mikovits did not have possession or control of any
24 misappropriated property.

25

26 *Dr. Mikovits misses a hearing while in jail*

27

1 118. The judge struck Dr. Mikovits's answer entirely, and granted default
2 judgment against Dr. Mikovits.

3

4 The default judgment leads to Dr. Mikovits's bankruptcy

5 119. On January 24, 2012, the judge entered the default judgment, stating that
6 he was doing so for willful and wanton disregard of the orders of the court in a manner
7 which flaunts and otherwise mocks and ignores the essential discovery of the very
8 information which is the subject of this lawsuit.

9 120. The judge issued a permanent injunction and scheduled a damages
10 hearing for January 25, 2012.

11 121. That default judgment directly resulted in Dr. Mikovits's bankruptcy.

12

13 Dr. Mikovits liquidates her assets

14 122. Pursuant to Dr. Mikovits's bankruptcy filing, WPI made a claim that Dr.
15 Mikovits owed WPI \$5.5 million.

16 123. Dr. Mikovits has been forced to liquidate all of her property and to turn
17 over the proceeds to WPI, by order of the US Bankruptcy Court.

18 124. Dr. Mikovits represented herself pro se in an effort to get a hearing, but
19 was denied.

20 125. Because of the actions of Harvey Whittemore, Annette Whittemore, and
21 WPI, Dr. Mikovits now has lost all of her career's research materials, all of her
22 accumulated property and assets, and has been maligned in the press such that she can
23 no longer find employment.

24

Count II

25 Federal False Claims Act Claim Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h) Retaliation Against
26 Mikovits for Engaging in Protected Acts

27

1 126. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth
2 above as if restated herein.

3 127. As set forth above, and in connection with the foregoing scheme, Dr.
4 Mikovits reasonably believed defendant WPI submitted, or caused to be submitted,
5 false claims for payment by the United States in violation of the FCA.

6 128. Dr. Mikovits engaged in activity protected under the FCA by engaging in
7 lawful acts in the furtherance of a *qui tam* action under the FCA and other efforts to stop
8 Defendants' violation of the FCA.

9 129. During her employment, Dr. Mikovits reported activity that she
10 reasonably believed evidenced violations of the rules applicable to the institute's
11 federally grants.

12 130. Dr. Mikovits also repeatedly urged the Whittemores to cease funding
13 Vincent Lombardi, who Dr. Mikovits reasonably believed was stealing federally funded
14 research materials for use at VIPDx, a for-profit entity.

15 131. Mikovits also participated in the publication of a paper that demonstrated
16 the Whittemores' for-profit arm, VIPDx, was selling diagnostic tests for a virus which
17 did not exist in humans.

18 132. Dr. Mikovits's protected activity motivated, at least in part, WPI's decision
19 to terminate her.

20 133. Following Dr. Mikovits's termination, WPI and the Whittemores
21 continued to take adverse actions against her by pressing criminal charges, resulting in
22 the arrest and jailing of Dr. Mikovits.

23 134. The Whittemores and WPI further took adverse action against Mikovits
24 following her termination by filing and prosecuting a civil case against Mikovits, which
25 directly led to Dr. Mikovits filing for bankruptcy.

26

27

1 135. The Whittemores and WPI further took adverse action against Mikovits by
2 filing a \$5.5 million claim against Whittemore in bankruptcy proceedings, forcing
3 Mikovits to liquidate the entirety of her assets.

4 136. To redress the harms he has suffered as a result of the acts and conduct of
5 WPI in violations of 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h), Dr. Mikovits is entitled to damages including
6 two times the amount of back pay, interest on back pay, and any other damages
7 available by law including litigation costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

8

9

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

10 137. WHEREFORE, the plaintiff Dr. Judy Mikovits prays that judgment be
11 entered against Defendants for violation of the False Claims Act as follows:

12 138. In favor of the Relator for the maximum amount pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §
13 3730(h) to include two times the amount of back pay, interest on back pay, reasonable
14 expenses, attorney's fees, and costs incurred by the Relator;

15 139. For all costs of the False Claims Act civil action; and

16 140. In favor of the Relator and the United States for further relief as this court
17 deems just and equitable.

18

Respectfully Submitted,

19

20

21

22


23

24

25

26

27



Dr. Judy Mikovits, Ph.D, pro se

One version of this complaint prepared by

David Scher
The Employment Law Group, P.C.
888 17th Street, NW, 9th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 261-2803

1 (202) 261-2835 (facsimile)
2 dscher@employmentlawgroup.com
3 sowald@employmentlawgroup.com
4
5
6

7 **JURY DEMAND**

8 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Mikovits hereby
9 demands a jury trial.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27